Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:

Niagara Falls Bridge District Master Plan			
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):			
This project location detail is included in the attached map, generally bound by Wr	nirlpool Street, Chasm St., 11th St. a	nd Orchard Parkway.	
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):			
This action proposes the rezoning of the Niagara Falls Bridge District, broadly, to a Comprehensive Plan while responding to new opportunities created by the city's a expansion of the Niagara Falls State Park and Downtown Revitalization Initiative (jobs, living opportunities and neighborhood amenities, as called-for in the compreh Niagara Gorge. The action includes introducing additional C2-A and R3-C zones i expanded in select locations according to the attached map to allow walkable, urbunderdeveloped areas. R3-C is introduced in two locations to allow intensification classification, D3-A, Downtown Gorge View - North Main District, would be introducorridor, to enable intensification, mixed use and scale that would allow new growth.	nd state's commitment to the remove DRI). Revised zoning in this district nensive plan, while being sensitive to into the area as well as proposing a an mixed-use development in existir while maintaining predominantly res ced generally west of Main St. to WI	al of the Niagara Scenic Parkway, will enable new growth, including to its unique geography along the new, D3-A district. C2-A would be not commercial areas and sidential land use. A new zone nirlpool as well as along the railroad	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: (716) 286	Telephone: (716) 286-4477	
City of Niagara Falls - Thomas DeSantis		E-Mail: desantis@nfez.org	
Address: City Hall - 745 Main St. Room 347			
City/PO: Niagara Falls	State: NY	Zip Code: 14302	
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:		
	E-Mail:		
Address:	1		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:	I	
	E-Mail:		
Address:			
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)			
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Applicati (Actual or)	
a. City Counsel, Town Board, ✓ Yes□No or Village Board of Trustees	Niagara Falls City Council	December 11	
b. City, Town or Village ✓Yes□No Planning Board or Commission	Niagara Falls Planning Board	September 25, 2019	
c. City, Town or ☐Yes☑No Village Zoning Board of Appeals			
d. Other local agencies ☐Yes☑No			
e. County agencies ☑Yes□No	Niagara County Planning Board Recommendation	October 21	
f. Regional agencies □Yes☑No			
g. State agencies □Yes☑No			
h. Federal agencies ☐Yes☑No			
	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalizate	•	✓Yes □No □Yes ✓No □Yes ✓No
C. Planning and Zoning	Truzura Tricu.		
C.1. Planning and zoning actions.			
Will administrative or legislative adoption, or at only approval(s) which must be granted to enall • If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. • If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete sections C.2.			∠ Yes□No
C.2. Adopted land use plans.			
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, vil where the proposed action would be located? If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include spewould be located?			Z Yes□No Z Yes□No
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any I Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); design or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s): New York State Downtown Revitalization Initiative (DR)	ated State or Federal heritage area; watershed		∠ Yes□No
New York State National Heritage Area Niagara River Greenway Area	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or part or an adopted municipal farmland protection If Yes, identify the plan(s):		pal open space plan,	□Yes Z No

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? R3-B - Residential Multi-family - Urban C1 - Commercial - Neighborhood C2-A - Commercial - Traditional - High Dens	✓ Yes□No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	✓ Yes No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site? C2-A, R3-B, D3-A (Newly Created Zone)	✓ Yes □ No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Niagara Falls City School District	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? Niagara Falls Police Department	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? Niagara Falls Fire Department & American Medical Response (AMR)	
d. What parks serve the project site? The future expansion of Niagara Falls State Park along the Niagara Gorge	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, components)?	include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? acres acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? % Units:	☐ Yes☐ No housing units,
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	□Yes□No
If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?iii. Number of lots proposed?iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum Maximum	□Yes□No
e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: months ii. If Yes: • Total number of phases anticipated • Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) month year • Anticipated completion date of final phase month year • Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progres	☐ Yes ☐ No
determine timing or duration of future phases:	

	ct include new resid				□Yes□No
If Yes, show nun	obers of units propo		Throa Eamily	Multiple Femily (four or more)	
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase					
At completion of all phases					
of all phases					
	osed action include	new non-residentia	l construction (inclu	uding expansions)?	□Yes□No
If Yes,	C				
i. Total number	of structures	ronoged structure:	haight:	width; andlength	
iii. Approximate	extent of building	space to be heated	or cooled:	square feet	
				l result in the impoundment of any	□Yes□No
				agoon or other storage?	
If Yes,	0 010001011 01 0 11 000	, 100pp1, 10001, 011,	pona, mire, waste n	ageon or outer broxage.	
i. Purpose of the	e impoundment:			☐ Ground water ☐ Surface water strea	
ii. If a water imp	oundment, the prin	cipal source of the	water:	☐ Ground water ☐ Surface water stream	ms Other specify:
iii If other than y	vater identify the t	vne of impounded/a	contained liquids an	d their source	
ttt. II other than v	vater, identify the t	ype or impounded/e	contained fiquids aff	d then source.	
iv. Approximate	size of the propose	d impoundment.	Volume:	million gallons; surface area:	acres
v. Dimensions o	of the proposed dam	or impounding str	ucture:	million gallons; surface area: _height;length	
vi. Construction	method/materials	for the proposed da	m or impounding st	ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, cor	crete):
D.2. Project Op	erations				
-		any avanyation mi	ning or dradging d	uring construction, operations, or both	
				or foundations where all excavated	
materials will r		ation, grading or in	standaron or atmities	of foundations where all executated	
If Yes:	,				
<i>i</i> . What is the pu	irpose of the excava	ation or dredging?		o be removed from the site?	
ii. How much ma	terial (including ro	ck, earth, sediments	s, etc.) is proposed t	o be removed from the site?	
• Volume	(specify tons or cu	bic yards):			
Over wh iii Describe natu	ıaı duranon or ume re and characteristi	cs of materials to b	e excavated or dred	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	e of them
iii. Describe nata	re and enaracteristi	es of materials to o	e executated of dred	ged, and plans to use, manage of dispos	e of them.
		or processing of ex			☐Yes☐No
If yes, descri	be				
w What is the to	atal area to be drede	ged or excavated?			
vi. What is the w	nar area to be ureug naximum area to be	worked at any one	time?	acres acres	
vii. What would b	be the maximum de	epth of excavation of	or dredging?	feet	
viii. Will the exca	avation require blas	ting?			□Yes □No
ix. Summarize sit	e reclamation goals	s and plan:			
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. 33711-41	1				□X7□X7
			on of, increase or de ch or adjacent area?	crease in size of, or encroachment	☐Yes ☐No
If Yes:	ng wenanu, wateru	ody, shorenne, bea	en or aujacent area?		
	vetland or waterboo	ly which would be	affected (by name, v	water index number, wetland map number	per or geographic
				, <u> </u>	

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placeme alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in squ	
iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	□Yes □No
iv. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	☐ Yes ☐ No
 acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion: 	
purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
• proposed method of plant removal:	
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s): v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? If Yes:	□Yes □No
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: gallons/dayii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?If Yes:	∐Yes ∐No
Name of district or service area: Description within water surply have consists to come the managed?	
 Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? Is the project site in the existing district? 	□ Yes□ No □ Yes□ No
 Is expansion of the district needed? 	☐ Yes☐ No
• Do existing lines serve the project site?	□Yes□No
iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? If Yes:	□Yes □No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
<i>iv.</i> Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If, Yes:	☐ Yes☐No
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated: Date application submitted or anticipated:	
 Proposed source(s) of supply for new district: v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project: 	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? If Yes:	☐ Yes ☐No
 i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons/day ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all approximate volumes or proportions of each): 	
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities?If Yes:	□Yes □No
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: Name of district:	
 Name of district: Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? 	Yes □No
Is the project site in the existing district?	□ Yes □No
 Is expansion of the district needed? 	□ Yes □No

 Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? 	□Yes□No
• Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
 Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: 	
Describe extensions of expansions proposed to serve and project.	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
 Applicant/sponsor for new district: Date application submitted or anticipated: 	
• What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including speci	fying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	□Yes□No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater management facility (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility).	operties,
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	
If to sumfore material identify receiving materials discounted by	
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	□Yes□No
<i>iv.</i> Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	□Yes□No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify:	
<i>i.</i> Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
in nacone sources uning project operations (e.g., nearly equipment, near or uniterly terrores)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□Yes□No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	□Yes□No
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
<i>ii.</i> In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Cardon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroffourocardons (HFCs) Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	
▼ 1008/VEAU 1800H 1018XXII 01 FAZAIO0H 2000HIANE 1 124 A PA 1	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (included landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes: i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):		∐Yes No
i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination me electricity, flaring):	easures included in project design (e.g., combustion to ge	enerate heat or
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutar quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., discount).		∐Yes∏No
 j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply) \(\subseteq Randomly between hours of to	: ☐ Morning ☐ Evening ☐ Weekend	YesNo
 iii. Parking spaces: Existing	g? sting roads, creation of new roads or change in existing a available within ½ mile of the proposed site? ortation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric	□Yes□No
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial profor energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the projection of the proj	he proposed action:	☐Yes☐No
 iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to 1. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction: Monday - Friday: Saturday: Sunday: Holidays: 	ii. During Operations: Monday - Friday: Saturday: Sunday: Holidays:	

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction,	□Yes□No
operation, or both? If yes:	
i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	
ii. Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?	□Yes□No
Describe:	
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?	□Yes□No
If yes:	Птезшио
i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structure	es:
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?	□Yes□No
Describe:	
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?	□Yes□No
If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to neare	
occupied structures:	
will the approach action include one bulk stores of actual combined consists of over 1,100 cellans)	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes:	
i. Product(s) to be stored	
iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides insecticides) during construction or operation?	, ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes:	
<i>i.</i> Describe proposed treatment(s):	
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	☐ Yes ☐No
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or dispos	
of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? If Yes:	
i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
• Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
 Construction: tons per (unit of time) Operation: tons per (unit of time) ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid was 	aste.
Construction:	
Operation:	
iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
• Construction:	
• Operation:	

If Yes:			
<i>i.</i> Type of management or handling of waste proposed other disposal activities):	for the site (e.g., recycling	g or transfer station, composting	g, landfill, or
 ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing: Tons/month, if transfer or other non-tons/hour, if combustion or thermal iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: 	treatment	ent, or	
t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the comme waste? If Yes:	rcial generation, treatment	, storage, or disposal of hazard	ous [Y es [No
<i>i.</i> Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	e generated, handled or ma	naged at facility:	
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving l	nazardous wastes or consti	tuents:	
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generatedtotototototo	ons/month cycling or reuse of hazardo	us constituents:	
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing If Yes: provide name and location of facility:			□Yes□No
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous	wastes which will not be s	ent to a hazardous waste facilit	y:
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action			
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site			
a. Existing land uses. i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the ☐ Urban ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Resid ☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other	dential (suburban) 🔲 Ru		
ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:	r (specify):		
	r (specify):		
ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:	r (specify):		
ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)
 ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces 	Current	Acreage After	
 ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces Forested 	Current	Acreage After	
 ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) 	Current	Acreage After	
 ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- 	Current	Acreage After	
 ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) Surface water features 	Current	Acreage After	
 ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) 	Current	Acreage After	
 ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) 	Current	Acreage After	
 ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. Land use or Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces Forested Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) 	Current	Acreage After	

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes: explain:	□Yes□No
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities:	☐ Yes ☐ No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes: i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	□Yes□No
Dam height: feet	
• Dam length: feet	
• Surface area: acres	
Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility,	□Yes□No
or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facil If Yes:	
<i>i</i> . Has the facility been formally closed?	☐Yes☐ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior sond waste activities.	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	□Yes□No
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred	ed:
 h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: 	□Yes□ No
i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□Yes□No
Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database	
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database?	□Yes□No
If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	
iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?		□Yes□No
 If yes, DEC site ID number:		
Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): Describe any use limitations:		
 Describe any use limitations: Describe any engineering controls: 		
 Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? 		☐ Yes ☐ No
Explain:		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?	feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?	0./	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:		
	%	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average:	·eet	
	eet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: % of site Moderately Well Drained: % of site		
☐ Moderately Well Drained:% of site ☐ Poorly Drained % of site		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 0-10%:	% of site	
1. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes. 10-10%:	% of site	
15% or greater:	% of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?		□Yes□No
If Yes, describe:		
h. Surface water features.i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including st	rooms rivers	□Vag□Na
ponds or lakes)?	reams, rivers,	□Yes□No
<i>ii.</i> Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?		□Yes□No
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.		
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated b	y any federal,	□Yes□No
state or local agency? iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the fo	llowing information:	
Streams: Name		
Lales on Danda, Name	Classification	
Wetlands: Name Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water of the above water bodies.	Approximate Size	
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water of	uuality-impaired	□Yes□No
waterbodies?	quanty impuned	
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:		
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?		□Yes□No
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain?		□Yes □No
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain?		□Yes □No
1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole sources.	urce aquifer?	□Yes □No
If Yes: i. Name of aquifer:		

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site:		
		
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? If Yes:		□Yes□No
<i>i.</i> Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designar	tion):	
:: Course(s) of description or evaluation:		
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:iii. Extent of community/habitat:		
Currently:	acres	
Following completion of project as proposed:		
• Gain or loss (indicate + or -):		
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the fede		☐ Yes☐No
endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for a lf Yes:	n endangered of threatened specie	S!
i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened):		
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NY	S as rare, or as a species of	□Yes□No
special concern?	, 1	
If Yes:		
i. Species and listing:		
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing		□Yes□No
If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:		
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural distri Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?	ct certified pursuant to	□Yes□No
If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:		
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present?		□Yes□No
i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?		
ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):		
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a	registered National	□Yes□No
Natural Landmark? If Yes:		
	Seological Feature	
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation ar		
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environment If Yes:	al Area?	□Yes□No
i. CEA name:		
ii. Basis for designation:		
iii. Designating agency and date:		

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a but which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, of Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for If Yes:	r that has been determined by the Commission	
i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: ☐Archaeological Siteii. Name:iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	☐Historic Building or District	
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:		
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an ar archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SI		□Yes□No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been in If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): ii. Basis for identification:		□Yes□No
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes: i. Identify resource:		□Yes□No
ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overl		scenic byway,
etc.):	niles.	
 i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: 	,	☐ Yes ☐ No
i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in	6NYCRR Part 666?	□Yes□No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify yo If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.		npacts plus any
G. Verification I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowled.	edge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name Thomas DeSantis, City of Niagara Falls	Date_09/18/2019	
Signature	Title_Acting Director, Dept. Planning & Econ. [Development_

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project : Niagara Falls Bridge District Rezoning Date:

October 2019

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	∠ NC	YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i		
h. Other impacts:			

2. Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib	it		
access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	✓ NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	Relevant Part I	No, or small	Moderate to large
	Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - 1. If "No", move on to Section 4.	✓NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h		
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d		

1. Other impacts:			
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	√ NCer.)	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	∠ NC) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	✓NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	nq.)	✓NO	□YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. ar	nd b.)	✓NO	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.			
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. 	Part I	small impact	to large impact may
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land 	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	□no Z YES]YES
3. 7	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	Ø	
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	Z	
 d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities 	E3h E2q, E1c	 	
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	☑	
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	Ø	
g. Other impacts:		Ø	
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.) √	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	Z	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.	E3g	Ø	

d. Other impacts:		Ø	
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f		
The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	✓ No) [YES
2) 100 y mis not questions at 01 2) 110 y go to 20000 1121	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ No) [YES
ij les , unswer questions a c. ij no , go to section 13.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.				
ij les , unswer questions a - j. ly 110 , go to section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	٥		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j			
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j			
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j			
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j			
f. Other impacts:				
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	√ N0	D [YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k			
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k			
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k			
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g			
e. Other Impacts:				
	l			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. YES (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.				
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m			
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d			
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o			

area conditions.			
f. Other impacts:			
			•
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. at <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>	nd h.)	O 🔽	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	Ø	
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	Ø	
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h	Ø	
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h	Ø	
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h	Ø	
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	Ø	
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	Ø	
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	Z	
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	Ø	
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	Ø	
The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	Ø	
m. Other impacts:			
	1	I	I

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.

e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing

D2n

D2n, E1a

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	✓NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	□NO	√ /	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g		
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4		
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	Z	
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3		
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	Ø	
g. Other impacts:			

Project: Niagara Falls Bridge District Rezoning

Date: October 2019

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

See attached d	locument				
	Determination	on of Significance	- Type 1 and	Unlisted Actions	
SEQR Status:	✓ Type 1	Unlisted			
Identify portions of	EAF completed for this l	Project: Part 1	✓ Part 2	✓ Part 3	
					EEAE 2010

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information	
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion City of Niagara Falls - City Council as lead	of the agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:	
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).	
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.	
Name of Action: Niagara Falls Bridge District Master Plan	
Name of Lead Agency: City of Niagara Falls - City Council	
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Alan B. Nusbaum	
Title of Responsible Officer: Environmental Planner/GIS Coordinator	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:
For Further Information:	
Contact Person:	
Address:	
Telephone Number:	
E-mail:	
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:	
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., To Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any)	wn / City / Village of)
Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html	

CITY OF NIAGARA FALLS REZONING

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW PART 3:


Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts

1. IMPACT ON LAND


- The project is a rezoning with no direct impacts upon the physical land area.
- The land area to be impacted by the rezoning (see map) is 100% previously disturbed urban land in the City of Niagara Falls. New development will be consistent with precedent disturbance prevalent throughout the project area.
- Future development will take place over the next decade or more. No specific impacts will be exacerbated by the length of time that these development projects could take.



 No slopes greater than 15% are impacted within the rezoning area (see topographic map, below)



• The project is not located in or adjacent to a Coastal Erosion Area. The following map identifies all of the Coastal Erosion Hazard Areas in New York State.




2. <u>IMPACT ON GEOLOGICAL FEATURES</u>

- No significant impacts upon geological features will be created by the rezoning. It is
 important to note that the rezoning is entirely east of Whirlpool Street and will not impact
 any portion of the Niagara River Gorge.
- The site is not located near a registered National Natural Landmark. The following map identifies all National Natural Landmarks in New York State



3. <u>IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER</u>

• The EAF Mapper identified potential wetlands in this area. The attached map illustrates no mapped Federal or State wetlands to be impacted.




- The stormwater system in this area is a mix of combined and separate sewers and will not be impacted by this rezoning. Adjoining receiving bodies will not be adversely affected (all development must meet City and NYS standards.
- The City's wastewater system is capable of handling, in general, the development that could take place in this area.

4. IMPACT ON GROUNDWATER

• The area does not have an aquifer being used for public water supply and no wastewaters are anticipated to be discharged to groundwater.

5. IMPACT ON FLOODING

- The area is not located in a 100 year floodplain or floodway (see attached map)
- No FEMA flood hazard areas are identified in the area impacted by the rezoning, The following map illustrates the FEMA flood hazard areas in the City of Niagara Falls.



6. IMPACT ON AIR

• The project is a rezoning of lands. The rezoning does not include rezoning to any industrial uses that would generate air pollutants. The residential and commercial uses that could be developed under this new zoning typically do not require air quality permits.

7. IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS

- The EAF Mapper identified the following protected species; Elk Sedge, Lesser Fringed Gentian, and the Smooth Cliff Brake. These species are not found in the developed areas represented by this rezoning.
- The Mapper also identified the following Natural Communities; Calcareous Talus Slope Woodland and the Calcareous Cliff Community. These are both located in the Gorge and will not be impacted by the proposed action.

8. IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES

• There are no agricultural resources in this rezoning area or adjacent to the area.

9. IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES

- The proposed action is located in an area with officially designated scenic and aesthetic resources and could impact recreational or tourism related activities.
- The action, the rezoning of lands, does not allow for any uses that are currently not allowed or located in this area. All future projects will be subject to local reviews and approvals which would address any potential impacts to views.
- The rezoning text amendment will require that all developments exceeding the base height maximum allowance to perform a viewshed analysis and incorporate measures to mitigate potentially significant negative impacts upon the viewsheds from other buildings.

10. IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- The EAF Mapper and the City of Niagara Falls have identified numerous properties that are
 eligible for Historic designation. These sites will not be directly impacted by this rezoning
 and many of the sites are not being rezoned (see proposed zoning map). The rezoning does
 not encourage development or removal of any of these properties and any future
 development would require full reviews and coordination with SHPO.
- Eligible historic properties include:
 - First Congregational United Church of Christ
 - o Bramer's Tavern
 - o 2002 Main Street, Niagara Falls
 - Former Evangelical Church Complex
 - o Commercial Warehouse 1910 Main St.
 - o 1932 Main Street
 - o 1517 Main Street
 - WHIRLPOOL RAPIDS BRIDGE
 - o 2018 Main Street
 - Bowen Building
 - o Queen Anne Residence at 811 Lincoln Place
 - o 1914-1918 Main Street
 - o 2020-2028 Main Street
 - Jenss Department Store
 - COLT BLOCK
 - US CUSTOMS AND IMMIGRATION
 - o Chilton Avenue-Orchard Parkway Historic District, U.S. Customhouse
- The proposed rezoning also does not recommend chances to the existing C2-A district along Main St. where historic properties are concentrated. The intent is to support the restoration and adaptive reuse of these structures to the greatest extent feasible.
- The EAF Mapper identified this area as having archeological sensitivity or being adjacent to an archeologically sensitive area. The rezoning has no direct impact on archeological resources and most of the area is presently developed or disturbed.

11. IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION

• The rezoning area does not include any designated parkland, open space or recreational resources, or any designated for future use as such. The area to the west of Whirlpool street is planned for a future Greenway, trail and recreational resource. The proposed rezoning does not directly impact this "future" resource. The following graphic illustrates all parks in the City of Niagara Falls, showing no parks in the rezoning area:



12. IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS

There are no designated Critical Environmental Areas (CEAs) within or adjacent to this area.

13. IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

- There have been numerous studies done in this area on traffic due to the removal of the Robert Moses Parkway. These studies have indicated plenty of existing capacities in the local roads and for future growth/redevelopment.
- The 2011 GTS Consulting Traffic Impact Assessment Niagara Gorge Robert Moses Parkway Closure Niagara Falls, NY, stated that there is excess vehicular capacity on

roadways throughout the Robert Moses Corridor from Lewiston to Downtown Niagara Falls and that the highway's removal can be easily absorbed by the existing infrastructure. Here is an excerpt from the conclusion of that report:

The removal of approximately 6 miles of the Robert Moses Parkway between Niagara Falls and Lewiston to allow for the ecological restoration and improved use of the Niagara Gorge will result in the closure of the Parkway to through traffic. The closure of the Parkway would result in an increase in travel time of approximately 3 minutes between Niagara Fall and Lewiston however, this time will not be significantly noticeable over a 6 mile travel distance. Traffic volumes on this section of the Parkway are generally less than 250 vehicles in either direction during peak travel periods. With low existing traffic volumes on Route 104 and other local streets in the Niagara Falls area, there is significant excess capacity to easily accommodate the existing Robert Moses Parkway traffic without any notable impact to traffic operations. There are a number of available travel options to avoid vehicular conflicts with residential areas or schools on Route 104.

 No new projects are proposed as a result of the rezoning. New growth will occur in an incremental fashion and significant projects will be required to provide their own traffic impact analysis.

14. IMPACT ON ENERGY

- No significant large impacts were identified related to energy. Major new manufacturers
 will not be permitted through the rezoning. The uses allowed under the proposed zoning
 are residential and commercial uses, consistent with current uses in the area
- Energy providers in the area include:
 - National Fuel
 - National Grid

15. IMPACT ON NOISE, ODOR AND LIGHT

- No significant large impacts on odor or noise were identified as the rezoning includes normal residential and commercial uses.
- The City's existing codes will require dark sky compliant lighting and therefore no significant impacts to lighting are expected, either directly or indirectly from the rezoning of these lands and the creation of new zoning districts.

16. IMPACT ON HUMAN HEALTH

- The EAF Mapper identified Remediation sites in this area. The attached map illustrates the location of these sites. Due to the location of these sites (not directly impacted) and the nature of this rezoning project, there will be no significant impacts to these sites or Human Health.
- The project does not anticipate any projects generating hazardous waste, does not include the modification of a solid waste management facility, and is not located near a landfill.



17. CONSISTENCY WITH COMMUNITY PLANS

- Broadly, the rezoning is consistent with community plans that address the impacted area. These plans include the following:
 - o 2001 Main St. Revitalization Strategy
 - o 2004 City of Niagara Falls Strategic Master Plan
 - o 2004 Draft Local Waterfront Revitalization Program
 - o 2009 City of Niagara Falls Comprehensive Plan
 - o 2012 Highland Community Brownfield Opportunity Area
 - 2019 Bridge District Downtown Revitalization Area Application & Award
- Common themes found throughout the planning documents include preservation of the
 historic building stock along Main Street, encouraging new, mixed-use development
 throughout the district and reconnecting the Bridge District to the Niagara Gorge, being
 realized through the removal of the Robert Moses Memorial Parkway that has bisected the
 district from the river for decades.
- The plans also recognize the importance of encouraging new private development to build "critical mass," establish new businesses, amenities and population to revitalize the area

and become a place that is both self-sustaining and attractive to visitors. Further, the balance of context and ecologically sensitive new growth in the urban environment is critical to leveraging the benefits of new access to the Niagara River Gorge.

- The following are key excerpts from each of the planning documents:
 - 2001 Main Street Revitalization Plan
 - The Main Street Revitalization Plan recognized the importance that the collection of infrastructure, design and development should play in the revitalization of the urban core. The plan encourages leveraging new private sector, infill development as a way to establish the critical mass to economically revitalize the area. It identifies urban growth patterns and dense/walkable neighborhoods as critical components to success.
 - The following is an overview and key goals defined within the plan, consistent with the rezoning:
 - Main Street Business District Revitalization Study (2001) The Main Street Business District Revitalization Study identified ways to tie Main Street to the natural assets and attractions of the region. The approach for the revitalization study incorporated not only the linear Main Street corridor, but also the surrounding contextual area, extending from the Lewiston Road / McKoon intersection on the north to the Rainbow Bridge / State Parks area on the south. The primary goals of the Main Street Business District Revitalization Study were to achieve:
 - increased commercial development;
 - Create Economic Opportunities
 - incorporate history;
 - celebrate architecture;
 - ensure cultural diversity;
 - o enhance quality of life; and
 - improve the surrounding areas.
 - Elaborating on these broad goals, some of the overall Master Plan highlights include:
 - Restoring a "sense of place" While Main Street's ego may have been damaged over the years, its will to survive has not diminished. The Main Street Plan identifies numerous opportunities for Main Street to regain its strength and restore a more appealing and dignified "sense of place."
 - Establishing critical mass as a way to attract visitors -To many in the region, the perception of Main Street, Niagara Falls, is boarded-up buildings and a lack of activity. This plan aims to reverse that perception in a very comprehensive manner. It highlights numerous initiatives and efforts that will create a critical mass of development and activity at various points along Main Street - most notably the southern end (the tourist area), the Jenss / DiCamillo area, and Whirlpool

- Bridge/train station area. It also encourages private sector investment and strengthens the "market" for significant redevelopment opportunities.
- o Improving the pedestrian's experience Numerous boarded-up buildings, poor lighting, hard to read signage, and limited seating areas create an unfriendly streetscape environment. This image discourages visitors from venturing into the area. Simple streetscape improvements, including new sidewalks, benches, street trees, pocket parks, pedestrian level lighting and highly visible signage, to mention just a few, will provide safer passage for the pedestrian, thus encouraging them to experience more of the area.
- Enhancing the area aesthetics New gateway features, upgraded streetscaping, new parks and landscaping, restored buildings, and other area improvements, will all add to the enhancement of the area aesthetics, thus improving the desirability of Main Street as a regional destination.
- Preserve and enhance the existing architectural history and urban design traditions - One of Main Street's greatest strengths.
- Establish new design guidelines and regulatory standards - Main Street is currently the victim of zoning codes and regulatory guidelines that are slowly destroying its traditional character and heritage.
 Zoning codes that are more appropriate for suburban settings are also utilized within the Main Street Corridor. The key to Main Street's future is to preserve and protect what is good about the street.
- Encourage Private Sector Investment Based on an encouraging Economic Study for the Main Street Corridor, the market appears to be very desirable for private investment. The goal would be to use public sector dollars to leverage private sector investment (see also #4 above).
- o 2004 Strategic Master Plan & 2009 Comprehensive Plan
 - The 2004 Strategic Master Plan is a foundational document and was wholly incorporated into the 2009 Comprehensive Plan. The plans share mapping, urban design strategies and recommendations concerning the rezoning area, referred to in these documents as the "North Main Loft District." For the purposes of SEQRA review, we will address them together.


The vision for the rezoning area as set by these two documents calls for attracting new investment into the project area, including new residents and businesses. The following excerpt from these plans lays out this priority:

The Customhouse and North-Main Loft Precinct should be positioned and supported as a primary mixed-use main street offering authentic areas of historic fabric, community, neighborhood and visitor amenities and visitor orientation. This precinct has significant potential for new investment supporting a broad range of uses, including tourist services, neighborhood commercial uses and new residential development. The existing heritage architecture on Main Street offers immediate opportunities for restoration and reuse, particularly for loft-style residential development, and lends the district a distinct character, which should be enhanced through carefully considered new developments.

Attracting new residential populations through restoration and reuse opportunities afforded through the future reconfiguration of the Robert Moses Parkway is very important to stabilizing the commercial components of the upper Main Street precinct. The redevelopment quality and character of new and infill developments fronting the Parkway corridor would establish the first impression for visitors of Niagara Falls, and it is critical to execute residential development fronting the Riverfront corridor to a high standard of design excellence. These development projects should be scoped and planned in conjunction with integrated land use and transportation improvement project for the redesign of the Parkway.

In order to achieve this vision, we must enable new projects to take advantage of the unique assets of the district, including the preservation of important historic structures and the leveraging of significant views of the Niagara Gorge. Revising the zoning to allow for appropriate intensification of development is an important step towards improving a code to realize the vision of the Comprehensive Plan. Enabling new developments near the gorge to go up to 100' by right and additional heights through a density bonus program will allow projects to successfully leverage views that create a significantly more attractive investment environment for the North Main area.

The following map, illustrates the urban design strategy for the rezoning area, showing a revitalized Main Street district with adjacent blocks seeing new infill development, consistent with the priorities of the rezoning proposal:



- Draft Local Waterfront Revitalization Program (LWRP)
 - The City of Niagara Falls LWRP was drafted in 2004 and remains in Draft form. The plan identifies how the City should consider the unique waterfront and waterway features in the context of development.
 - It is important to note that the rezoning does not include the Niagara River Gorge Rim to the west of Whirlpool Street.
 - The document advocates for "highest and best use" for land uses adjacent to the gorge to sensitively take advantage of the opportunity that the natural feature presents, while preserving public access to the public's waterfront.
 - The following are the policies established by the LWRP with respect to waterfront development, relevant and consistent with the rezoning proposal:
 - Special Policies Proposed to Govern Waterfront Development in the City of Niagara Falls
 - A Balanced Approach To The Preservation And Development Of Waterfront Lands
 - The City of Niagara Falls shall endeavor to "achieve a balance between economic development and parklands preservation that will permit the beneficial use of coastal resources" in a manner consistent with the Waterfront Revitalization and Coastal Resources Act.
 - Recognition Of The Inherent Value Of The Entire Niagara River Shoreline
 - The City of Niagara Falls recognizes and publicly acknowledges that the entire Niagara River Shoreline is an invaluable and irreplaceable natural asset, which must be preserved and developed in the best interests of future generations.
 - o The Preservation Of Natural Resources
 - The City of Niagara Falls shall endeavor to preserve and enhance the natural and cultural resources of the waterfront to the maximum feasible degree, which is consistent with the planning principle of highest and best land use. The determination of the highest and best use shall include a consideration of importance, scarcity or sensitivity of surrounding natural features.
 - o The Highest And Best Use Principle
 - The City of Niagara Falls shall endeavor to identify, promote, and participate in developing the highest and best land uses for the Waterfront area. The City views the whole of the waterfront area to be underdeveloped, or poorly developed in terms of preserving or using coastal resources to their full advantage. Public lands shall be expanded and enhanced for the public's benefit. Private lands adjacent to public lands shall be controlled development that enhances real estate values, without degrading the River's aesthetic or scenic values

Maximum Feasible Access To Waterfront Lands

The City of Niagara Falls shall endeavor to increase visitor and resident access to the Niagara River shoreline for aesthetic, recreational and tourism purposes. Since the late 1950's, the Robert Moses Parkway, as a limited, access expressway, has been an effective barrier to over three quarters of the Niagara Falls waterfront. Huge concrete ramps, almost impassable to the handicapped, had to be constructed just so that visitors could get to the Falls and the Reservation itself. While the NYS OPRHP has undertaken corrective measures in the Reservation, a majority of shoreline continues to suffer limited access due to the Robert Moses Parkway. Even at access points, pedestrian paths are either poorly developed or non-existent.

Historic Preservation

The City of Niagara Falls shall identify properties of historical significance within the waterfront area and endeavor to promote development and management of such properties in a manner consistent with historic preservation legislation and guidelines as prescribed by the NYSHPO. There are six properties, including the Niagara Reservation, which are of historic significance in the waterfront area. The Niagara Reservation, in addition to being the oldest state park in the nation, was also designed by Frederick Law Olmsted. Here forward the State and the City shall preserve and promote the historical aspects of the community.

o Advocacy

The Niagara River Coastal area is in part a special trust to the City of Niagara Falls. As the community, which lives, works, and recreates along the Niagara, the City shall endeavor to provide leadership in advocating for the highest and best use of such lands, and the preservation of the natural beauty of the Niagara River and its shoreline. The lack of recognition of the inherent value of the entire waterfront by the City and the State and its agents have much of the waterfront in an underdeveloped or poorly developed condition. As the jurisdiction with the greatest stake in caring for the "special trust" of the Niagara and the shoreline, the City should become the strongest and most active advocate for sound preservation and development planning. Adoption of the LWRP is seen as the first step in advocating for the enhancement and preservation of the waterfront. A second and equally important step that must be taken is to develop an oversight and management structure, which commits all elements of government with legal or administrative interest in these lands to participate in the advocacy for, and the

implementation of the City's Waterfront goals and objectives.

- Highland Community Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA)
 - The Highland Community BOA is a plan for adjacent properties outside of the rezoning area. The plan issues recommendations for the Main Street district, encouraging mixed use development that builds off of the rail station and connects into the BOA. The following is an excerpt from the BOA concerning Main Street District Recommendations

Although outside the Highland BOA boundary, the redevelopment of Main Street, the restoration of the US Custom House, the Underground Railway Museum and the Amtrak Station relocation are important opportunities that the Highland community can benefit from. The Master Plan recognizes and supports these important renewal efforts adjacent to the study area and realizes the benefits they can bring to the district as a whole. For example, once completed, the Amtrak Station relocation to the historic US Custom House will potentially bring over 50,000 people annually to Highland's front door, creating new commercial and tourism opportunities for the local area.

To help draw economic benefits from the regeneration of the wider Main Street area into Highland, the Master Plan proposes some key projects that focus on strengthening connections to Main Street and the downtown / waterfront area. A gateway art project is proposed at the Depot Street rail underpass as well as public realm improvements (streetscape treatments west of Centre Avenue, along 9th Street and Depot Avenue to Main Street) and a new green open space, Legacy Park, to create a more positive first impression of the Highland community when arriving from Main Street. The Master Plan also proposes a feasibility study for a hotel in the vicinity of the US Custom House. A future development site is earmarked for the parcels to the north of the proposed hotel site, west of Main Street. To the south along Main Street, the Master Plan illustrates potential for infill commercial / live-work / residential / hotel developments on vacant sites with the new Public Safety Complex as the southern anchor to such development. Improved connections between Highland and Main Street will facilitate cultural, retail, open space and transit connections. The Master Plan also recognizes an opportunity for a future transit route(s) through the site, either on the street, along Highland Avenue making its way south on Main Street, or along the rail corridor south to Main Street and beyond.

The map below illustrates the Main Street recommendations of the BOA, calling for new multi-family residential developments, infill mixed-use developments and a multitude of uses ranging from residential to commercial and hospitality.




- 2019 Downtown Revitalization Initiative Application
 - In 2019, Niagara Falls was awarded \$10 Million in funding for the rezoning area through New York State's Downtown Revitalization Initiative (DRI) program. This funding supports planning, infrastructure development and economic development strategies to revitalize the "Bridge District" area of the city. The rezoning is wholly consistent with the DRI application & funding, which anticipates adaptive reuse of historic buildings along Main Street and infill development in the surrounding blocks.

The following Bridge District Urban Design Plan submitted with the DRI application. This plan illustrates a revitalized Main Street historic district, bringing existing buildings back to life, surrounded by significant new infill development sites and improved public infrastructure.



The map below is the urban design plan focus area from the DRI application, illustrating a revitalized urban core, adjacent to significant potential development sites that would provide increased density and mix of uses throughout the Bridge District.



18. CONSISTENCY WITH COMMUNITY CHARACTER

- The rezoning aims to establish a regulatory and economic framework that will facilitate the
 repair of the urban fabric of the Bridge District by supporting adaptive reuse of historic
 structures, infill projects on vacant lots and leveraging of quality public infrastructure that
 can establish a walkable district and reconnect the community to the Niagara Gorge.
- The project does not replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community (the enclosed mapping acknowledges those historic facilities). The rezoning does not directly impact these facilities.
- There are adequate community services in this area to accommodate the future redevelopment that may occur after the rezoning.
 - There are two professional fire stations nearby that service this area. They are at 1100 Ontario Ave and 740 10th St.
 - o The police station is within the immediate area.
 - Niagara Falls School system has sufficient capacity to accommodate future development.
 - Niagara Falls Memorial Hospital is nearby.
- The project does not propose to eliminate or displace any low income housing. The rezoning
 will allow for redevelopment to higher densities and allow for mixed use development that
 will support all levels of income. The City currently has and will continue to have abundant
 affordable housing with this rezoning.
- Allowing for increased scale will enable the creation of additional low to moderate income housing as greater volumes will improve the financial viability of these projects.
- These zoning amendments will allow for development that will be consistent with the predominant scale and character of the area, and as discussed previously, with the Plan for this area of the City.